H_{10} , so that no adjustments of the remainder of the molecule have been made. The energy gaps between the lowest filled and highest unfilled molecular orbitals are also given in Table IX. The C_2 structure seems to be distinctly less stable than the C_{2v} structure, which is only slightly less stable than the observed structure of C_s symmetry. We are not sure, without a considerably extended investigation of variations of many parameters, that this result is of significance, but we would surely have been disturbed if the method had yielded greater stability for either the C_{2v} or C_2 structures.

Acknowledgments.--We wish to thank R. D. Dobrott and J. **A.** Potenza for help in maintaining the single crystals over 4 months, and **U'.** E. Streib for a considerable amount of aid in obtaining the early photographs. We also acknowledge use of computer programs written by Busing, Martin, and Levy, by Van der Hende, and by Sly and Shoemaker. Support of this research by the Office of Naval Research, the National Science Foundation, the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham), and the Advanced Research Projects Agency is gratefully acknowledged.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

Molecular and Crystal Structures of $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ and $B_4H_6C_2CH_3$ ₂

BY F. PETER BOER, WILLIAM E. STREIB, **AND** WILLIAM N. LIPSCOMB

Received **.4** *ugust 3, 1964*

Single crystal three-dimensional X-ray diffraction studies at -100° have established the structures of B₄H₆C₂H₂ (monoclinic, P2₁/n, four molecules in a unit cell having $a = 8.97$, $b = 10.60$, $c = 5.83$ Å., and $\beta = 95^{\circ}$ 47') and of B₄H₆C₂(CH₃)₂ (orthorhombic, P2₁nm, two molecules in a unit cell having $a = 5.709$, $b = 6.046$, and $c = 10.556$ Å.). The structure is an icosahedral cap of B_4C_2 with one B as apex and a five-membered B_3C_2 ring having C atoms adjacent and two BHB bonds. These molecules have C_s symmetry and strongly resemble B_6H_{10} in geometrical and valence structures.

The dihydrocarborane $B_4H_6C_2H_2$, more precisely known as $2,3$ -dicarbahexaborane (8) , was first discovered and synthesized by Weiss' in very small yields in a reaction of B_5H_9 with C_2H_2 at elevated temperatures and pressures. The syntheses of this compound and, for the first time, of its alkyl analogs² $B_4H_6C_2RR'$ (in particular $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$) in excellent yields from B_5H_9 and RCCR' with the use of 2,6-dimethylpyridine as a catalyst represent a significant advance in the availability of these interesting molecules for further study.

The structure for $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ implied by the original name,' ethenyltetraborane(8), was shown to be inconsistent with the ^{11}B nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum² obtained by Williams, who first assigned^{2,3} the structure confirmed below by X-ray diffraction methods and subsequently reported in a preliminary communication^{4,5} on $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$. Further interest, which led to the elucidation of both structures described herein, was generated by the suggestion of a possible internal rearrangement, 6 perhaps leading to a more stable isomer in which the C atoms are nonadjacent. However, this rearrangement has not occurred, and it is shown below that the C atoms of the framework are adjacent to one another in both $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ and $B_4H_6C_2$ - $(CH₃)₂$.

Experimental

The samples, both stable liquids at room temperature, were distilled and sealed into capillaries on a vacuum line. During slow growth of the crystal, near -50° for both compounds, the capillary which was mounted on a Weissenberg or precession goniometer was maintained at low temperature by a cold gas stream obtained by evaporation of liquid N_2 .⁷ Supercooling of $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ by as much as 20° caused some difficulty in obtaining single crystals, which mere finally obtained from a suitable seed remaining after a polycrystalline sample was carefully melted

Crystals of $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ were easily grown at about -50° as needles elongated along c , and were cooled to $-100°$ for diffraction study. The reciprocal lattice symmetry of C_{2h} and the space group of P2₁/n from extinctions of *h0l* for $h + l$ odd and of *Ok0* when *k* is odd were established. Calibration of an *hk0* Weissenberg level and a c axis oscillation pattern with an AI powder pattern yielded unit cell dimensions of $a = 8.97 \pm 0.03$, $b = 10.60 \pm 0.03$, and $c = 5.83 \pm 0.02$ Å., in conjunction with a precession $h0l$ level which established the monoclinic angle β as 95° 47' \pm 4'. The assumption of four molecules per unit cell leads to the very reasonable calculated density of 0.903 g. cm.⁻³. The levels $h k L$ for $0 \leq L \leq 4$ were taken on a Weissenberg goniometer, and levels *Hkl* for $0 \leq H \leq 3$ and *hKl* for $0 \leq K \leq 1$ were photographed on a precession goniometer. Of the 1006 independent reciprocal lattice points only 93 were too weak to produce a measurable intensity. These photographs covered 75% of the Cu K_{α} sphere of reflection.

The $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ crystals gave 470 out of a possible 491 unique reflections in the Cu K_{α} sphere. Levels *Hkl* for $0 \leq H \leq$

⁽¹⁾ H. G. Weiss, to be published. Also H. G. Weiss and I. Shapiro, U. S. Patent 3,086,996 (April 23, 1963, filed June 13, 1956).

⁽²⁾ T. P. Onak, R. E. Williams, and H. G. Weiss, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., **84,** (3) R. E. Williams, H. G. Weiss, and T. P. Onak, private communica- 2830 (1962). **Also** ASTIA Report **AD** 273469, Feb., 1962 (unclassified).

⁽⁴⁾ W. E. Streib, F. P. Boer, and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Am. Chew%.* Soc., tions. **86,** 2331 (1963).

⁽⁵⁾ W. N. Lipscomb, "Boron Hydrides," The W. **A.** Benjamin Co., New York, **X.** *Y.,* 1963.

⁽⁶⁾ R. Hoffmann and W. N. Lipscomb, *lirotg. Chem.,* **2,** 231 (1963).

⁽⁷⁾ W. h-. Lipscomb, Norelco *Repovtei,* **4,** 56 (1957).

TABLE I

 $\label{P19} \begin{small} \textbf{F1} & \textbf{F2} & \textbf{S2} & \textbf{S1} & \textbf{S2} & \textbf{S3} & \textbf{S4} & \textbf{S5} & \textbf{S4} & \textbf{S5} & \textbf{S6} & \textbf{S7} & \textbf{S7} & \textbf{S8} & \textbf{S7} & \textbf{S7} & \textbf{S7} & \textbf{S8} & \textbf{S7} &$

THE OBSERVED STRUCTURE FACTORS= FOR B4H&H2 **(A)** AND **B&Cz(** CH& (B)

в

 $H = 0(K) (L) + (0) (1 + 12) + 1085/9 + 693/7 + 181/3 + 485/7 + 140/3 + 21 + (1) (0 + 13) + 279/$ $\begin{smallmatrix} \texttt{H=0(K)(L1,(0)(11121)*1005/9+693/7*181/3+485/7*140/3*224*110(0121)*279/\\ 1611654/1844856/21587/169907/25*6297/181241/71186/6*350/101143/55*394/91172/\\ 5*38/2*100/4*(210413)*79/49*481/17*202/6*207/8466/3122/4+657/20145/4$

^a The range of *l* and the value of *k* are given in parentheses. For observed reflections, the value of $10|F_{\rm o}|$, and its standard error, are listed and are separated by a slant line. The standard error of $|F_\circ|$ is obtained from the standard error of F_\circ^2 by the relation

$$
\sigma(\|F_{\circ}\|) = \frac{[\sigma(F_{\circ}^{2})/F_{\circ}^{2}]}{\sqrt{4 + [\sigma(F_{\circ}^{2})/2F_{\circ}^{2}]^{2}}}
$$

For absent reflections, which are indicated by an asterisk, the standard error is not given but is 1.4 $|F_0|$. Extinctions are indicated by an extra comma, experimentally unobserved reflections by U. No extinction or absorption corrections were made.

4 and *hKl* for $0 \le K \le 5$ were taken on a Weissenberg goniometer.
The reciprocal lattice symmetry of D_{2h} , and extinctions of *h* + The reciprocal lattice symmetry of D_{2h} , and extinctions of $h + l$ for $h + l$ odd in the *h0l* zone restrict the space group to Pmnm, Pmn2₁, or P2₁nm. Unit cell dimensions, calibrated against an A1 powder pattern, are $a = 5.709 \pm 0.008$, $b =$ 6.046 ± 0.004 , and $c = 10.556 \pm 0.010$ Å. Only two molecules are present in this unit cell, if we assume that the density of the crystal is near 1 g. cm.⁻³ (calculated value 0.942 g. cm.⁻³). The **1lB** nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum2 is inconsistent with the high molecular symmetry required by the twofold positions of Pmnm, and the molecular packing was considerably less favorable in Pmn2₁ than in P2₁nm, which was tentatively chosen as the correct space group. Later analysis of the Patterson function showed that this choice is correct.

Structure Determinations

 $B_4H_6C_2H_2$. Sharpened coefficients of a three-dimensional Patterson function were computed after

visual estimates of intensities had been corrected for Lorentz-polarization factors and correlated to a single scale. The line, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ - 2y, $\frac{1}{2}$, the plane, $\frac{1}{2}$ - $2x$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ - 2z, and the centrosymmetric interaction, 2x, *2y,* 22, were searched for mutually consistent peaks. After several false choices were made, one of these regions yielded all six of the heavier atoms upon threedimensional superposition. Two cycles of least-squares refinement of the 18 position parameters were carried out, and a three-dimensional electron density map was found to show six peaks at heights, in arbitrary units, of 6000, 4700, 4950, 5250, 4950, and 6000. The assignment at this stage of the two peaks at 6000 as C atoms was confirmed later by the reasonable background of difference maps and behavior of individual

 \sim

*^a*The atomic parameters and the maximum value of the standard deviation for each type of atom are given. x, y, *z* are in units of the cell edges, the isotropic temperature factors (*T*) are in units of Å.², and the anisotropic temperature factors (β_y) are dimension-
less. The isotropic thermal parameters are in the form $\exp(-T \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2)$ and less. The isotropic thermal parameters are in the form $\exp(-T \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2)$ and the anisotropic thermal parameters are in the form $\exp(-(h^2\beta_{11} + k^2\beta_{22} + l^2\beta_{33} + 2hk\beta_{12} + 2hl\beta_{13} + 2kl\beta_{23}))$.

Fig. 1.-The structure and labeling convention for X-ray designation of atoms in $B_4H_6C_2CH_3)_2$. In $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ atom 5 becomes a hydrogen atom.

Fig. 2.-Electron density sections through atoms of the $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ structure. The false peak is indicated by a question mark.

atomic thermal parameters. After two cycles of refinement of B and C with isotropic thermal parameters, a difference electron density map showed all eight H atoms uniquely at an average height of 390 ± 60 units, whereas the highest peak which could not be accounted for was 150 units in height. We then carried out a refinement of B and C atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters, another difference electron density map which showed only random noise, two cycles of refinement of H atom positions and isotropic thermal parameters, and a final cycle of refinement of all atomic positions with anisotropic thermal parameters on B and C. The final value of $R_F = \sum ||F_o|| - |F_o|| / \sum |F_o|$ is 0.081 for all reflections (Tables I and TI).

 $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2.$ --A brief attempt to solve this structure in two-dimensional projections failed, but it was readily solved from the three-dimensional Patterson function without the use of superposition techniques. Both

Fig. 3.—Bond distance in $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$.

B and C atoms were located and identified, and the arbitrary origin along x was chosen by setting the *x* coordinate of atom 2 at zero (Fig. I). The structure determination was completed by (a) least-squares refinements of B and C positional parameters (atoms 1-5) with the use of the 190 largest reflections, (b) refinement of B and C atoms with isotropic thermal parameters with the use of all reflections, (c) a difference electron density map which located all nonmethyl H atoms (atoms 6-9), (d) isotropic refinement of atoms 1-9, (e) refinement of B and C atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters, (f) another difference electron density map which confirmed H atoms 6-9 and showed the locations of H atoms $10-12$, (g) refinement of all H atoms with isotropic thermal parameters, and (h) final least-squares refinements of all position parameters in the complete structure. The final R value is 0.078 for all reflections (Tables I and 11). A final electron density map of the molecule is shown in Fig. 2. The interesting occurrence of a peak nearly the height and area of an H atom on the symmetry plane and near the molecular center was shown to be due to lack of convergence of the Fourier series: a least-squares refinement of H atom 6 and of a new H atom placed at the question mark (Fig. 2) was subject to seven cycles of least-squares refinement during which the thermal parameter *B* in the temperature factor exp $(-B \sin^2 \theta)$ θ/λ^2) behaved normally for H₆, but rose from 2.0 to $53,710$ Å.² for this new "H atom." Thus the presence of a peak at this new position is clearly inconsistent with the observed X-ray data. Finally, we made an additional attempt to distinguish between B and C atoms by noting that if all B and C atoms are assumed to be equal in weight (to C atoms) the values of R_F , $R_{\mathbf{F}^2}$, and $R_{\mathbf{w}\mathbf{F}^2}$, for observed reflections only, refined no lower than 0.10, 0.20, and 0.29, considerably higher than the values 0.08, 0.15, and 0.18. respectively, obtained for the correct structure.

Results and Discussion

Final atomic coordinates are given in Table 111. In Table IV we give the polar angles ϕ , in the x, y plane, and ρ , from the *z* axis, in a form⁵ so that a molecuTABLE **IVa**

Q Maximum standard deviations obtained from the full variance-covariance matrix are k0.006 **A.** for BB and BC and *0.03 **A.** for BH and CH distances. ^b Spherical coordinates for construction of boron hydride models, W. N. Lipscomb, "Boron Hydrides," The W. A. Benjamin Co., New York, N. Y., 1963, p. 227. *Coistances corrected for thermal motion on the assumption that* the first atom rides on the second. These corrections are made with the use of the standard Busing-Levy program and are very much larger than the corresponding corrections based on the assumption that the molecule moves as a rigid body.

lar model can readily be made. Bond distances are also shown in Tahle IV, both uncorrected and then corrected for molecular oscillation on the assumption that each second atom rides on each first atom. Bond distances and angles for $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ are also shown in Fig. **3** and 4. No unusual features are present in the intermolecular contacts (Table V), or in the molecular packing of the crystal structures (Fig. *5* and 6). In $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ the five-membered B_3C_2 ring lies roughly parallel to the (111) plane, while in $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ the ring lies almost parallel to the (110) plane and, for the other molecule in the unit cell, the (110) plane. The shortest intermolecular contact is $H \cdots H$ at 2.5 Å., in excellent agreement with similar distances in the boron hydrides, and close to the expected van der Waals contact of 2.4 A.

Fig. 4.--Bond angles in $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$.

Fig. 5.-Projection of the $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ structure along the monoclinic c axis. Atoms 1, 2, 3, and **3'** are B, atoms 4 and 4' are C, and atoms 9 and 9' are bridge H.

Fig. 6.-Projection of the $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ structure along the x axis.

The molecular structure has some nice geometrical features. The five-membered rings are nearly planar. Atom 2 of $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ lies 0.026 Å. above the plane defined by *3* and *3'* and the midpoint of 4 and 4') while atoms 4 and 4' lie 0.022 A. off this plane in opposite directions from one another. Atoms designated by primes are related to corresponding unprimed atoms by the molecular symmetry plane, exact for the $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$ structure, in which atom *2* lies 0.032 A. above the plane defined by atoms 3, 3', 4, and 4'. Some of these deviations may be statistically significant in view of the calculated standard deviations of 0.006 Å. for bond distances involving B and C atoms (Table IV).

Fig. 7.-The π -donation model for bonding in B₄H₆C₂H₂. Bond angles about C atoms are consistent with sp² hybridization in both compounds.

^{*a*} 2₁, twofold screw rotation at $X = \frac{1}{4}$, $Z = \frac{1}{4}$ for $B_4H_6C_2H_2$, at $Y = 0$, $Z = \frac{1}{4}$ for $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$; I, center of inversion; TX, cell translation in the *X* direction; TY, cell translation in the *Y* direction; TZ, cell translation in the *Z* direction.

The valence structure is most interesting because of the very short C-C distances of 1.419 ± 0.006 Å. in $B_4H_6C_2H_2$ and 1.431 ± 0.006 Å. in $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$, and because the C atoms of the B_3C_2 ring show bond angles, most accurately known in $B_4H_6C_2(CH_3)_2$, near 120° (Fig. 4). Because this distance and angle resemble those in graphite we have suggested⁴ a structure which has some multiple bonding between these C atoms, but weakened by a localized π donation to the apex B atom (Fig. 7). Thus, we start with sp^2 hybridized C atoms which are only slightly modified by the participation of the $sp²$ bonds in the direction of the relatively long distance of 1.762 Å. over which some π donation has been shown to occur in a molecular orbital analysis.⁴ This π donation results in a charge transfer which has been shown to be partially compensated by some stabilizing back donation of electron density from the boron framework into the antibonding π -orbitals associated with the C atoms. This bonding description seems to be at least consistent³ with the highly resolved ^{11}B nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum obtained³ at 32 Mc.

These structures are closely related to the structure of B_6H_{10} by the substitution of CC in these molecules for H_bBBH_b in B_6H_{10} . On the surface, it is the same

near invariance in bonding which occurs when the bridge H atoms (H_b) of B_2H_6 are moved into the B nuclei in order to convert them conceptually to C atoms. It is not yet known how general these orbital invariances are, but it must be noted that the corresponding B-B bond⁵ of 1.596 \pm 0.012 Å, in B₆H₁₀ is by far the shortest known B-B distance in the boron hydrides, and our study of these dihydrocarboranes may well indicate that this B-B distance in B_6H_{10} involves some multiple bonding. Chemical studies *(8) J. A. Potenza and W. N. Lipscomb, Inorg. Chem.*, **3**, 1673 (1964).

which explore this idea may be worth the effort. Finally, we strongly emphasize that short C-C bonds are not general in the carboranes.⁸

Acknowledgments.-We wish to thank T. P. Onak for the samples and L. Friedman for helpful discussions, and we wish to thank the Office of Naval Research, the Advance Research Projects Agency, and the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham) for financial support.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

Molecular Structure of Carboranes. A **1,2-Dicarbaclovododecaborane** Derivative, $B_{10}Cl_8H_2C_2H_2$

BY JOSEPH **A.** POTENZA AND WILLIAM N. LIPSCOMB

Received August 3, *1964*

The near-icosahedral geometry of the B₁₀C₂ unit exists in B₁₀Cl₈H₂C₂H₂. The C-C bond distance of 1.67 \pm 0.02 Å, can be predicted from proportional distribution of the thirteen electron pairs among the thirty icosahedral edges. Chlorine substitution has occurred at all B atoms except those two which are most positive and which are bonded to both C atoms. There are eight molecules in the unit cell which has dimensions $a = 17.11$, $b = 13.47$, and $c = 13.65$ Å.; the space group is Pbna.

o-Carborane1j2 **(1,2-dicarbaclovododecaborane,** Blo- $H_{10}C_2H_2$) is most frequently assumed^{3,4} to have nearly regular icosahedral symmetry, differing from the $B_{12}H_{12}^{-2}$ ion⁵ by the slight shortening of C-C and B-C bonds in accord with the decrease in covalent radius from 0.83 A. for B to 0.77 *8.* for C. This assumption has been based, in part, on the extraordinary thermal stability of $o-B_{10}H_{10}C_2H_2$, which transforms to neocarborane^{6,7} ($m-B_{10}H_{10}C_2H_2$) without decomposition at 470° .

However, a model with a short C-C distance of 1.54 Å. has been suggested⁷ for o -carborane, which is conjectured to transform to m -carborane by expansion of this short distance to one of about 1.77 Å. in the transition state, but the valence theory here is not clear, .especially as to the nature of the barrier between these suggested structures. In even stronger disagreement with the usually accepted structure is the recent report of an X-ray diffraction study8 which indicates an ethylenedecaborane type of structure for the $B_{10}C_2$ unit. We show below that both the proposal of the

and **Yu.** T. Struchkov, *Izu. Akad. Sci. SSR,* 2069 (1963).

short C-C bond and the ethylenedecaborane unit are not correct for the $B_{10}C_2$ unit in $B_{10}Cl_8H_2C_2H_2$ and, furthermore, that the near-icosahedral structure is indeed correct. Thus the two bonded C atoms share in the electron deficiency of the boron polyhedral environment to an extent not hitherto proven.

The possibility³ that polyhedral boranes form a superaromatic system raises questions of position and mechanism of electrophilic and nucleophilic substitution. The ground-state charge distribution should be reasonably indicative of the position of substitution under the conditions that the charge distribution in the transition state resembles that in the ground state, and that steric or neighbor effects are not dominant. Successive substitution changes the charge distribution for predictions of yet further substitution. Nevertheless, the very strongly positive character found³ for the two B atoms bonded to *both* C atoms might be preserved in successive C1 substitution such that eight C1 atoms would appear on all B atoms except these two. We show that this result is indeed correct and, hence, that probably electrophilic substitution has taken place.

Structure Determination

A needle-like crystal, 0.8 mm. in length with a square base 0.11 mm. on edge, was mounted along the needle axis (b) . The reciprocal lattice symmetry is D_{2h} , and unit cell dimensions are $(\lambda 1.5418 \text{ Å})$ *a* = 17.11 \pm 0.02, *b* = 13.47 \pm 0.02, and *c* = 13.65 \pm 0.02 Å. Extinctions of Okl when *k* is odd, of hk0 when *h* is odd,

⁽¹⁾ M. M. Fein, J. Bobinski, N. Mayes, N. Schwarz, and M. S. Cohen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2, 1111 (1963).

⁽²⁾ H. Schroeder, T. L. Heying, and J. **I<.** Reiner, *ibid.,* **2,** 1092 and footnote 7 **(1663). (3) R.** Hoffmann and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Chem. Phys.,* **36,** 3489 (1962);

Imrg. *Chem.,* **2,** 231 (1963). (4) W. N. Lipscomb, "Boron Hydrides," The **W. A.** Benjamin Co., New

York, N. *Y.,* 1963.

⁽⁵⁾ J. Wunderlich and W. N. Lipscomb, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **82,** 4427 (1660).

⁽⁶⁾ H. Schroeder and G. D. Vickers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2, 1317 (1963).

⁽⁷⁾ D. Grafstein and J. Dvorak, *ibid.,* **2,** 1128 (1963).

⁽⁸⁾ L. I. Zakharkin, V. I. Stanko, V. A. Brattsev, **Yu. A.** Chapovsky,